

South Australia
 August 1901
 Watermark Crown/SA
 Perforation 11½ - 12½



9d Claret
Error – Watermark Reversed

There is no reference to this error in contemporary philatelic literature and it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



1/- Dark Reddish Brown
*Error – Imperforate Between
 Stamp and Margin at Top*

This error is not related to the “*imperforate horizontally between pair*” error since it has the horizontal perforations at the base. This example also shows some elements of the design of another three stamps in the top margin. Two examples of this error have been recorded.



1/- Dark Reddish Brown
*Error – Imperforate Between
 Stamp and Margin at base*

This error is one of the units from the base of the sheet of the “*imperforate horizontally between pairs*”.

South Australia
August 1901
Watermark Crown/SA
Perforation 11½ - 12½



2/- Crimson
Error – Watermark Reversed

There is no reference to this error in contemporary philatelic literature and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



2/- Crimson
Error – Double Perforation



1/- Dark Reddish Brown
Error – Imperforate Horizontally Between Pair

This error was first reported in "Australian Stamp Monthly" in October 1936 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1950. This separated vertical strip of four is the only used example of this error recorded. Each has been separated and used on different dates between July and September 1902.

Ex Frazer

South Australia

August 1868

Watermark Crown/SA

Rouletted



2d Pale Orange Red
Error – Watermark Reversed



2d Pale Orange Red
Error – Printed on Both Sides

Although listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1903, this error was first reported in the "London Philatelist" in April 1904. This is the only recorded example. A scanned image of the front of the stamp is illustrated at the left.

Ex Fryar, Frazer

2d Pale Orange Red

Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair (E)

There are no contemporary reports of this error until its listing by Stanley Gibbons in 1923. Five horizontal pairs are recorded, with another example in the Royal collection.

Ex Mursell, Blake



February 1876

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 10

1d Deep Green

Error – Printed Double (E)

This error was first recorded in the "London Philatelist" in July 1932 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1994. It is the only recorded example.

Ex Lt. Col. W. F. Maxwell



South Australia

February 1880

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 10 x 11½ - 12½



1d Green

Error – Double Perforation



1d Blue Green

Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair (E)

The Australasian Stamp Monthly published the first report of this error in June 1947 and unusually, it is not listed by Stanley Gibbons. This pair is the only recorded example.

Ex Mursell, Blake

February 1893

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 15



1d Green

Error – Double Perforation



2d Pale Orange

Error – Double Perforation

January 1895

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 13

1d Pale Green

*Error – Imperforate Between
Stamp and Margin at Base
Double Perforation*

This error has not been recorded in contemporary literature although it was known to collectors in the 1920's with examples in the Royal and Vickery collections. This pair and a block of four are the only recorded examples in private hands.



South Australia

January 1895

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 13

1d Pale Green

Error – Imperforate Horizontally Between Pair

Reported in the London Philatelist in September 1897, this error was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1937. Two pieces have been recorded, this vertical pair and a block of four.

Ex Mursell



1d Green

Error – Double and Misplaced Perforation



1d Green

Error – Double Perforation



2d Orange Red

Error – Double Perforation

March 1883

Watermark Crown/SA (sideways)

Perforation 10



1/2d Chocolate

Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair

This error was first reported in the "Australian Stamp Monthly" in October 1936 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1950. This is the only recorded example.

Ex Frazer

South Australia

January 1893

Watermark Crown/SA (sideways)

Perforation 15



1/2d Deep Brown
Error – Double Perforation



1/2d Deep Brown
Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair

There is no evidence of this error being reported in contemporary literature and it was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1917. Three mint strips of four are recorded, with another being in the Royal collection. The whereabouts of a mint block of eight is unknown and hasn't been sighted since 1936.

Ex Pearce

September 1895

Watermark Crown/SA (sideways)

Perforation 13



1/2d Pale Brown
Error – Double Perforation



1/2d Pale Brown
Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair (E)

This pair is a recent discovery and has not been recorded in any contemporary literature. This was the discovery pair which led to its listing in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2014. One other example is known.

South Australia

March 1904

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 11½ - 12½



3d Olive Green

Error – Watermark Sideways

This error was first reported by the “Australian Philatelist” in August 1906 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2003. Although the Brusden White catalogue states that around a dozen examples are estimated to exist, I have only been able to track six singles and a pair, all used at Kadina between September and November 1904.

Ex Pearce

April 1904

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 12



3d Olive Green

*Error – Imperforate Between
Stamp and Margin at Right*

Although there are no reports of this error in contemporary literature, it was first recorded in the Fryar sale in 1955 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Nine examples have been recorded, with a strip of three being the largest multiple.

Ex Slade Slade, Pearce



3d Olive Green

*Error – “POSTAGE” Omitted
And Value Below “AUSTRALIA”*

The discovery of a sheet with the error “POSTAGE” omitted was announced in the “Australian Stamp Journal” in September 1931 and was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1950. This error affected the lower row, with the other 50 units showing misplacement of the “POSTAGE” and value duty slugs. Only 10 examples exist, made up of 4 pieces, the largest being in 2 blocks of 15.

Ex Fryar, Jaffe

South Australia
 March 1904
 Watermark Crown/SA
 Perforation 11½ - 12½

8d Ultramarine
 Error – “EIGNT” for EIGHT

This is one of the most popular errors of South Australia and its existence was known to local collectors when the stamp was on issue. It was first published in the “Australian Journal of Philately” in June 1904 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1905. Used copies are quite scarce with twelve examples recorded.



Otto Bickel

Prinzenstr. 49

München 88. 13. 12. 04

Dear Sir,

I got from an old dealer 15 of
 the rare old Trinidad 5/- C.C.
 Gibbons Cat No 79 each 30/-
 Whitfield " " 31 quoted 30/-

I could sell you them for 17/-
 each; all with full gum.

I have also 1 of the very rare
 S. Australian 8d. error **EIGNT**
 of the No 70 of Whitfield Catalog
 " " " 296 " Gibbons " 8

a very fine one lightly obliterated
 cope. I should sell it for £10.-
 free of guarantee
 if not yet sold when I
 get your answer.

Obliged in advance

Yours truly

Otto Bickel

stamp dealer

A postcard from the German stamp dealer Otto Bickel to the London stamp dealers Bright & Son dated the 13th of December 1904 advertising for sale a used example of the 8d “EIGNT” error for £10. News of the error had reached Europe within 9 months of the issue of this error and this is a very early and rare postcard advertising a stamp error.

South Australia

March 1904

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 11½ - 12½



8d Ultramarine
Error – “SUOTH”
Watermark in Margin

This error was first reported in the “Australian Stamp Journal” in June 1918 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



9d Rosy Lake
Error – Imperforate Horizontally
Between Pair and Imperforate
Between Stamp and Margin at Base

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in January 1906 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1917. Eight examples are recorded, including one in the Vickery collection.

Ex Mursell, Frenckle, Baillie

1/- Brown
Error – Misplaced “POSTAGE” and
“ONE SHILLING”

This error was first recorded in “The Postage Stamps of South Australia” by James in 1947 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Less than 8 examples are known, all punctured “SA”.



South Australia

March 1904

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 11½ - 12½

1/- Brown

Error – Imperforate Horizontally

Between Pair and Imperforate

Between Stamp and Margin at Base

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in January 1906 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1917. Fourteen examples are recorded, including a block of 4 in the Royal collection.

Ex Frenckle, Frazer



April 1904

Watermark Crown/SA

Perforation 12



6d Blue Green

*Error – Imperforate Between
Stamp and Margin at Top*

There is no evidence of this error in contemporary literature and this error was incorrectly recorded on Watermark Crown/A paper in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. It is the only known example.



9d Brown Red

*Error – Imperforate Between
Stamp and Margin at Top*

This error is first reported in the sale of the Fryar collection in 1955. It was recorded in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004, but only in used condition. About half a dozen mint examples are estimated to exist.



9d Brown Red

*Error – Watermark
Inverted*

This error was first reported in the “Australian Stamp Journal” in March 1919 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.

South Australia
 April 1904
 Watermark Crown/SA
 Perforation 12

10d Dull Yellow

Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair

Three pairs imperforate between were purchased by the Sydney stamp dealer Fred Hagen and reported in the "Australian Philatelist" in October 1908. It was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1925. Ten examples are recorded including a mint block of four.

Ex Pearce



10d Dull Yellow

Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Left

There is no evidence of this error in contemporary literature and it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Six mint examples have been recorded.

Ex Pearce



10d Dull Yellow

Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Right

There is no evidence of this error in contemporary literature and it was not listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Three mint examples have been recorded.

Ex Pearce



10d Dull Yellow

Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Top

There is no evidence of this error in contemporary literature and it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Two mint examples have been recorded.

Ex Williams

South Australia
 April 1904
 Watermark Crown/SA
 Perforation 12



1/- Brown

Error – Imperforate Horizontally Between Pair

Although this error was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1917, it was not reported until September 1918 in the "London Philatelist". Eleven pairs, two being in public collections, and two blocks of 4 are recorded.

Ex Frazer



1/- Brown

*Error – Imperforate Horizontally Between Pair
 and Imperforate Between Stamp and
 Margin at Base*

The discovery of this error was combined with the imperforate horizontally between pair and not recognised as a separate entity until it's listing in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. A block of four and three vertical pairs are recorded.

February 1906
 Watermark Crown/A
 Perforation 12



3d Pale Grey Olive

*Error – Imperforate Between
 Stamp and Margin at Base (E)*

This error first came to the attention of collectors with the sale of two rejoined pairs in the Frazer collection in 2003. It is not recorded in the Brusden White catalogue.

Ex Frazer

South Australia
February 1906
Watermark Crown/A
Perforation 12



4d Red Orange
Error – Marginal
Watermark “AUSTRLALA”

This error was first recorded in “London Philatelist” in August 1918 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



6d Blue Green
Error – Imperforate
Horizontally Between Pair

This error was first reported in the “London Philatelist” in September 1918 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1940. Ten pairs, including one in the Royal collection, and this block of 4 are recorded.

Ex Hind, Mursell, Slade Slade, Holding, Williams, Baillie



6d Blue Green
Error – Imperforate Between
Stamp and Margin at Base

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Stamp Monthly” in June 1946 and listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. It is estimated that about 20 examples exist of this error, mostly in pairs, with one strip of 4 known. The used copy is the only example recorded.

Ex Williams

South Australia
February 1906
Watermark Crown/A
Perforation 12



9d Brown Lake
*Error – Imperforate
Horizontally Between Pair*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in July 1907 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1925. Seven mint pairs, three blocks of 4 and a block of 8 are recorded.

Ex Frazer



9d Brown Lake
*Error – Imperforate Horizontally
Between Pair and Imperforate
Between Stamp and Margin at Base*

This error was recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in July 1907 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1925. Ten examples of this error are recorded, including a block of 4.

Ex Williams



9d Brown Lake
Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair

Although this error was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1940, it was not reported until April 1947 in the “Australian Stamp Monthly”. Two strips of 3 and a block of 6 are the only recorded examples.

Ex Purves, Frazer

South Australia
 February 1906
 Watermark Crown/A
 Perforation 12



9d Brown Lake
Error – Marginal
 Watermark “AUSTRALIA”

This error is a recent discovery and is not recorded in the Brusden White catalogue.



1/- Brown
Error – Imperforate
Vertically Between Pair

This error was first recorded in the “London Philatelist” in September 1918 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1925. At least two sheets were issued with this error, comprising eight pairs, with one residing in the Royal collection. A block of 6 with three pairs is also known.

Ex Hamilton

South Australia
November 1905
Watermark Crown/A
Perforation 12

1d Scarlet
Error – Double Perforation

This error is a recent discovery and is not recorded in the Brusden White catalogue. The double perforations on the horizontal rows have a small strip of paper behind them indicating a post office repair of the error.



2d Violet
Error – Double Perforation

This error is a recent discovery and is not recorded in the Brusden White catalogue. Most of the double perforation errors from this issue are in blocks of four from the top of the sheet of which 5 have been recorded.



2d Violet
Error – Imperforate
Three Sides in a Block of 4 (E)

This error was first reported in the "Australian Stamp Journal" in August 1923 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1950. Two mint blocks of four and a faulty block of 8 are the only examples recorded.

Ex Baillie