

## New South Wales

March 1892

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforated 11 x 12



1/2d Grey

*Error – Imperforate (E)*

There are no reports of this error in contemporary philatelic literature until the sale of the John Bell collection in 2013. It is the only recorded example and was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2015.

*Ex Bell*



1/2d Slate

*Error – Imperforate Three Sides*

Although collectors were aware of this error in the early 1930's with an example in the Royal Collection, it was not reported until 1953 in "Philately from Australia". It was catalogued by Stanley Gibbons the following year. A vertical strip of ten from the left hand side of the sheet was discovered which is now divided in to a pair and four blocks of four, one being in the Royal Collection.

*Ex Hutson*

June 1897

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforated 12 x 11

1d (1/-) Green and Brown

*Error - Brown Printed Double*

The brown printing of the vignette is printed double. The first printing measuring 1mm to the right and 2mm to base was unsatisfactory and the stronger second printing was performed which horizontally dissects the inscription of "HOME" at the top.

This error is a new discovery and this block represents the only examples recorded. It is expected to be listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2018.





## New South Wales

June 1897

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 11 x 12



1d Scarlet Die I

*Error – Imperforate Three Sides*

The first record of this error was in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1897 and it was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1969. The Die I error is much rarer than its Die II counterpart with only seven pairs recorded, including a strip of four.



1d Rose Carmine Die II

*Error – Imperforate (E)*

This error is a new discovery and has not been recorded in this printing. It is the only known example and was listed in the 2015 edition of the Stanley Gibbons catalogue.

2d Deep Dull Blue

*Error – Triple perforations*

Triple perforation errors are very scarce throughout the Australian Colonies and this is the only recorded example from this printing.



June 1897

Watermark 5/-

Perforation 11



5/- Reddish Purple

*Error – Imperforate Vertically Between and Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Right*

This is one of the most iconic errors of New South Wales and its discovery was first published in the “Australian Federal Philatelist” in April 1914 and was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1919. At least two partially imperforate between sheets were discovered, with many of the pairs now in institutional collections. Seven pairs and two blocks of four are recorded in private hands.

*Ex Silk, Boucher, Alvarado*



New South Wales  
 October 1899  
 Chalk Surfaced Paper  
 Watermark Large Crown / NSW  
 Perforation 12 x 11½



½d Blue Green  
*Error – Imperforate*

This error was well known to contemporary collectors at the start of the 20th century and was recorded by Bassett Hull in 1911 and listed by Stanley Gibbons the following year. It exists in large multiples in institutional collections, with a block of 24 in the Vickery collection and a block of 60 in the H.L. White collection. This strip of six is the second largest multiple in private hands.



½d Blue Green  
*Error – Mixed Perforations (E)*

This pair is perforated 12 x 11½ with an additional vertical perforation gauging 11 between producing the mixed perforation error. There are no reports of this error in contemporary philatelic literature and it is the only example recorded.

*Ex Hutson*



1d Carmine Die II  
*Error – Mixed Perforations*

The stamps in this strip are perforated 12 x 11½ with an additional horizontal line of perforations gauging 11 at the base producing the mixed perforation error. This strip resided in the Hutson Collection and there is a further pair in the H.L. White Collection in the New South Wales State Library. There are no contemporary reports of the discovery of this error, even though collectors had known of its existence from the early 1920's. The strip of six above and the pair in the White Collection are the only recorded examples, and it is not listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue.

*Ex Hutson*



## New South Wales

October 1899

Chalk Surfaced Paper

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 12 x 11½



1d Carmine Die II

*Error – Imperforate Three Sides (E)*

Although this error was recorded in 1898 in the Scarlett shade, no distinction was made with the earlier Carmine printing. It is much scarcer than the Scarlett printing with only a handful of blocks of four recorded. It was listed as a separate error in the 2015 edition of the Stanley Gibbons catalogue.



1d Scarlet Die II

*Error – Imperforate Three Sides*

This error was first reported in the "Australian Philatelist" in June 1898 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1976.



1d Carmine Die II

*Error – Double Perforation*

Although there is no contemporary publication of the discovery of this error, early collectors were aware of it's existence from around 1920.



1d Scarlet Die II

*Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Left*

There are no reports of this error in contemporary philatelic literature and it appears to be quite rare with only four examples recorded, with the largest known multiple being two blocks of four, one residing in the H. L. White Collection.

*Ex Hutson*



## New South Wales

October 1899

Chalk Surfaced Paper

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 12 x 11½

1d Scarlet Die II

*Error – Imperforate*

First recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1900, it was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912. The Scarlet colour is the most common shade encountered, with the Salmon Red being much rarer. The Stanley Gibbons catalogue does not distinguish between the two shades. There are at least seven blocks of four recorded, although given the availability of this error, more will probably turn up. The largest recorded multiple is a block of twelve in private hands, although there is a block of twenty in the H.L. White Collection.

Across both shades, three used pairs can be identified, two in the scarlet shade.

*Ex Evans (The block)*

*Ex Bell (Used pair)*



1d Scarlett Die II

*Error – Mixed Perforations*

The stamps in this pair are perforated 12 x 11½ with an additional vertical line of perforations gauging 11 between producing the mixed perforation error. There are no contemporary reports of the discovery of this error in Scarlett, although collectors were aware of it in the Carmine shade from the early 1920's with examples in the Hutson and H.L. White collections. This pair was discovered in 2013 and led to its listing in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue the following year. It is the only recorded example in private hands.



1d Scarlett Die II

*Error – Double Perforation  
Horizontally and Vertically*

Although there is no record of this error in contemporary literature, collectors were aware of its existence by the 1930's at the latest with an example present in the Colonel Harrie Evans collection who was actively acquiring during this period.



## New South Wales

October 1899

Chalk Surfaced Paper

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 12 x 11½



1d Salmon Red Die II  
*Error – Imperforate*

Although this error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1900, it did not distinguish between the Scarlett and Salmon Red shades. The error was listed by Stanley Gibbons catalogue in the Salmon Red shade in 1912, however, nearly all examples are in the Scarlett colour. The used pair is quite rare with only three examples recorded.



2d Cobalt Blue  
*Error – Double Perforation*



2d Cobalt Blue  
*Error – Imperforate*

This error was first reported in the “Philatelic Journal” in November 1901 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1904. Some large multiples exist of this error including a mint pane of 60 in the Royal collection.



Printed in two panes of sixty, it appears that this error was created with the left hand pane being imperforate and the right hand perforated normally. Two inter-pane strips of four exist in private hands, whilst the Royal Collection has a complete sheet showing the left pane of sixty completely imperforate with the right pane perforated in the conventional manner.

*Ex Hutson*



## New South Wales

October 1899

Chalk Surfaced Paper

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 12 x 11½



2 ½d Prussian Blue  
*Error – Imperforate*

First listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912, this value imperforate appears to be quite rare in mint blocks. Only two blocks of four have been recorded, with this being the only one in private hands. The Royal collection has a mint block of 36.

*Ex Evans*



4d Red Brown  
*Error – Imperforate*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1900 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912. Blocks of four appear to be the largest multiple known, eight are recorded with another two being in institutional collections.

*Ex Steinway, Evans, Johnston*



6d Deep Orange  
*Error – Imperforate*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1900 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912. Blocks of 4 are the largest multiple known of this error, with four being recorded in private hands.

*Ex Hutson*



6d Emerald Green  
*Error – Imperforate*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1900 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912. Blocks of 4 are the largest multiple known of this error, eight are recorded including one in the Royal and one in the H.L. White collections.

*Ex Evans, Johnston*



New South Wales  
 October 1899  
 Chalk Surfaced Paper  
 Watermark Large Crown / NSW  
 Perforation 12 x 11½



9d on 10d Dull Brown  
*Error – Surcharge Double*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in January 1900 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1902. The used stamp is the only example recorded.

*Ex Hutson*



9d on 10d Dull Brown  
*Error – Surcharge Omitted*  
*(Albino Impression of the Surcharge)*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in September 1900 and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1902. Blocks of four are the largest multiple known and two are recorded.

*Ex Hamilton, Hutson*



9d on 10d Dull Brown  
*Error – Surcharge Omitted (Albino Impression of the Surcharge)*

This is the only used example recorded of this error.



## New South Wales

October 1899

Chalk Surfaced Paper

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 12 x 11½

1/- Purple Brown  
*Error – Imperforate*

The discovery of this error was announced in the "London Philatelist" in September 1900 and it was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1912. Blocks of four are the largest multiples known and five are recorded.

*Ex Williams*



April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 12 x 11½



½d Blue Green  
*Error – Double Perforations*

This error is not recorded in the Brusden White catalogue published in 2004, although it is expected that further examples will be discovered.



½d Blue Green  
*Error – Mixed Perforations 12 x 11½ and 11*

The stamps in this strip are perforated 12 x 11½ with an additional vertical line of perforations gauging 11 producing the mixed perforation error. Collectors in the early 1920's knew of this error however, it was not publicised until listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004 and by Stanley Gibbons in 2007. This is the only known block in private hands, with three blocks of four are in the H.L. White collection.

*Ex Hutson*



New South Wales  
 April 1902  
 Watermark  
 Large Crown / NSW Type II  
 Perforation 12 x 11½

1d Carmine

*Error – Imperforate Between  
 Stamp and Margin at Left*

This error was first listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. Two full panes exist in the White collection and one other strip of three is known.

*Ex Hutson*

1d Carmine

*Error – Imperforate Between  
 Stamp and Margin at Right*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and this block of thirty is the only example recorded.





## New South Wales

April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 12 x 11½



1d Carmine Die II

*Error – Double Perforation*

Although there was no publication in contemporary literature regarding this error, collectors were aware of it's existence by the early 1920's with examples in the H.L. White Collection. It was first catalogued by Brusden White in 2004.

*Ex Hutson*

2d Cobalt Blue

*Error – Imperforate*

The discovery of this error was recorded in the "Philatelic Journal" in November 1901 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1904. Blocks of 4 of this stamp are quite scarce although a mint block of 22 is known. The used pair on piece below is quite rare, one of two recorded examples.

*Ex Hutson*



1d Carmine Die II

*Error – Mixed Perforations - 12 x 11½ and 11*

There was no publication in contemporary literature regarding this error, however, collectors were aware of it's existence by the early 1920's with a mint vertical pair in the H.L. White Collection. It was catalogued by Brusden White in 2004, but not by Stanley Gibbons. This used pair is the only recorded example in private hands.





## New South Wales

April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 12 x 11½



2d Cobalt Blue

*Error – Mixed Perforations 12 x 11½ and 11*

The stamps in this strip are perforated 12 x 11½ with an additional vertical line of perforations gauging 11 producing the mixed perforation error. This error is a new discovery with no reports from any contemporary literature. It is the only recorded example and it is not catalogued in either Brusden White or Stanley Gibbons.

*Ex Hutson*



2d Cobalt Blue

*Error – Imperforate Three Sides*

The existence of this error was well known to collectors in the early years of the 20th Century. A mint vertical strip of 10 comprising five pairs from the second pane of the sheet was discovered and subsequently divided up. One pair was sold to King George V and is now in the Royal Collection, whilst a strip of four containing two pairs was sold to H.L. White. Of the remaining two pairs, one was auctioned in the Steinway Collection in 1939 and has not been seen since. This example passed to Colonel Harry Evans in the 1920's before being sold at auction in 2003. It was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2004.

*Ex Evans*



2d Cobalt Blue

*Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Right*

The existence of this error was not generally known to collectors, although a vertical strip of ten resides in the H.L. White Collection in the New South Wales State Library. The vertical pair at left is the only other recorded example of this error which is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.

*Ex Carrington*



## New South Wales

April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 12 x 11½

6d Orange Buff

*Error – Imperforate Between  
Stamp and Margin at Right*

The existence of this error first came to the notice of collectors when this pair was auctioned by Stanley Gibbons Australia in June 1996. Two examples are known of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.

*Ex Johnson*



April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12 x 11

*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12 x 11

*Error – Offset on Reverse*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12 x 11

*Error – Watermark Inverted and Reversed*

There are no contemporary reports of this error until it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



## New South Wales

April 1902

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12

*Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Left*

Both these pieces resided in the Hutson Collection, however, they were not mentioned in his book “The Stamps of New South Wales” in 1962. They are the only recorded examples, although there are a few copies from the Perforation 11 printing known to exist. These two pieces are in totally different shades, so at least two sheets were produced exhibiting this error which is not catalogued by Brusden White.

*Ex Hutson*



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12

*Error – Imperforate Vertically Between Pair (E)*

There are no contemporary reports on the existence of this error until it was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2000 and Brusden White in 2004. This pair is the only recorded example.



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12

*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



## New South Wales

April 1902

### Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12

*Error – Watermark Reversed*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 12

*Error – Watermark Inverted and Reversed*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue.



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 11½ x 11

*Error – Imperforate Between  
Stamp and Margin at Left*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This is the only recorded example.



3d Dull Green - Perforation 11½ x 11

*Error – Imperforate Between  
Stamp and Margin at Right*

This error formed part of the Hutson Collection, however, it was not mentioned in his book "The Stamps of New South Wales" in 1962. It is the only recorded example and not listed in the Brusden White catalogue published in 2004.

*Ex Hutson*



3d Yellow Green - Perforation 11½ x 11

*Error – Double Perforation*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This is the only recorded example.



# New South Wales

July 1903

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 12 x 11



5d Dark Blue Green

*Error – No Watermark*

There are no contemporary reports of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This error occurred with the unusual sizing of the paper used to print 5d Diadem issue. The stamps in the lower row are watermarked with the sheet letters "POSTAGE", with the exception of the lower right unit which shows the error "No watermark".



5d Dark Blue Green

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Watermark Sideways (Facing Left)*



5d Dark Blue Green

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Watermark Sideways (Facing Right)*

This error was first reported in the "London Philatelist" in February 1908 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1996. There appear to be more examples recorded with the sideways watermark facing left than right.



## New South Wales

July 1903

Watermark Large Crown / NSW Type II

Perforation 11 x 12



5d Dark Blue Green

*Error – Imperforate*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in June 1917 and listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1970. It is considerably rarer than the 1885 5d Imperforate error. The largest known multiple is a remarkable block of 25 in the White collection.



5d Dark Blue Green

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Double Impression*



5d Dark Blue Green

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Watermark Sideways Facing Left  
Double Impression (E)*



5d Blue Green

Perforation 12

*Error – Watermark Inverted*

The first report of this error was when it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004, although it was known by colonial collector H. L. White.



New South Wales  
 October 1905  
 Watermark Crown / A  
 Perforation 12 x 11½



½d Blue Green  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no contemporary reports on the discovery of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This block is the only recorded example of this error.



1d Rose Carmine  
*Error – Double Perforation*

Although there are no contemporary reports of this double perforation error in philatelic literature, it was well known to early colonial collectors with an example in the H. L. White collection.



**New South Wales**  
**October 1905**  
**Watermark Crown / A**  
**Perforation 12 x 11½**



1d Rose Carmine  
*Error – Double Impression (E)*

This error was first recorded in the “Australian Philatelist” in December 1907 and was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1997. Although a mint sheet exists in the White collection, examples of this error are surprisingly elusive.



1d Rose Carmine  
*Error – Printed Double (E)*

The distinction between the Printed Double and Double Impression errors has not been made until quite recently. The Printed Double error is quite rare with only a couple of examples recorded.



2d Deep Ultramarine  
*Error – Double Perforation*

Although known to colonial collectors at the time of issue, this error was not recorded until publication of the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



2d Deep Ultramarine - Perforation 11½ x 11  
*Error – Double Perforation*

Although known to colonial collectors at the time of issue, this error was not recorded until publication of the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



New South Wales  
 October 1905  
 Watermark Crown / A  
 Perforation 12 x 11½



2d Cobalt Blue  
*Error – Imperforate Between  
 Stamp and Margin at Base*

There is no contemporary reports of this error in philatelic literature and it appears that it has not been recorded. It is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue published in 2004.

*Ex Hutson*



2½d Dark Blue  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no reports regarding the discovery of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This stamp is the only recorded example.



2½d Dark Blue  
*Error – Offset*

There are no reports regarding the discovery of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This stamp is the only recorded example.



10d Violet  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no reports regarding the discovery of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. These blocks are the only recorded examples.





New South Wales  
October 1905  
Watermark Crown / A



10d Violet - Perforation 11  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

The first report of this error was when it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004.



2/6d Blue Green - Perforation 12 x 11½  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

The first report of this error was when it was listed in the Brusden White catalogue in 2004. It is quite scarce, with about ten examples known. It is not recorded in mint condition.



2/6d Blue Green - Perforation 11½ x 11  
*Error – Watermark Inverted*

There are no reports regarding the discovery of this error and it is not listed in the Brusden White catalogue. This stamp is the only recorded example.



2/6d Blue Green - Perforation 11  
*Error – Imperforate Between Stamp and Margin at Right*

This error was first recorded in the "Australian Stamp Journal" in November 1910 and was listed in the Brusden White Catalogue in 2004. The current census records that there are twelve examples known of this error, including a vertical strip of six in the H.L. White Collection. At least two panes have been affected by this error.

*Ex Hutson*



## New South Wales

October 1905

Watermark Crown / Double Lined A

Perforation 12 x 11½



½d Blue Green

*Error – Two Right Units No Watermark*



1d Dull Rose

*Error – Two Right Units No Watermark*

This error was known to collectors soon after this printing was issued and it was first published by Fred Hagen in the "Australian Philatelist" in November 1905.



2d Cobalt Blue

*Error – Watermark Sideways*

This error was first recorded in 1912 with the discovery of an example by H.L. White. Three used singles are recorded in private hands with the pair above being the only recorded multiple.

*Ex Bell*



4d Orange Brown

*Error – Two Left Units No Watermark (E)*

This error was known to collectors soon after this printing was issued and it was first published by Fred Hagen in the "Australian Philatelist" in November 1905. One other block of 4 showing a single unit with No Watermark is recorded.



## New South Wales - Officials

October 1879

Watermark Small Crown / NSW



3d Dull Green - Perforation 13

*Error – “OS” Overprint Misplaced*

There are very few examples known of the New South Wales “OS” overprint misplaced. This is the only example recorded of this stamp.



5d Green - Perforation 10

*Error – Watermark Inverted  
Double Perforation*

1888

Watermark 5/-



5/- Rose Lilac - Perforation 11

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double, One Albino (E)*

Discovered in 2009, this is the only recorded example of this error which led to its listing in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2012.

31st May 1880

Watermark Single Lined 10

Perforation 10



10d Lilac

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double, One Albino (E)*

This error first came to the notice of collectors when an example was offered in the Garven Thomas sale in 1982 before being listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1996. To date, only five mint singles have been recorded, this block of four, and a block of twelve.

*Ex Hutson*



5/- Rose Lilac - Perforation 10

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double (E)*

The discovery of this error first came to the notice of collectors when this stamp was auctioned by Harmer Rooke in the Steinway sale of 1939 and bought by the old London stamp dealer Tommy Allan. It was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1995. Three examples are recorded, this being the only mint copy.

*Ex Steinway, Allan, Frazier, “Alvarado”, Lord Vestey*



## New South Wales - Officials

1882

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 11 x 12



1d Scarlett (E)

*Error – Double Impression of “OS” Overprint*

There are a number of examples of a double impression of the New South Wales “OS” overprint. It is scarce on the 1d value.



2d Blue

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double (E)*

The first reports of this error were published by Hutson in 1962, with nearly all recorded examples in used condition. Only two mint examples are recorded outside of this block of ten, the largest known mint multiple. The largest recorded used multiple is this pair. It occurs in a number of different versions. Usually, the first overprint is light and misplaced, necessitating a second overprint to correct the initial application.



## New South Wales - Officials

1882

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

Perforation 11 x 12



2d Blue - Perforation 11 x 12 (E)

*Error – “O” of “OS” Omitted*

This error is recorded on only the 2d and 3d values of the New South Wales Officials. It is not recorded in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue and this is the only recorded example.



2d Blue - Perforation 13 x 10 (E)

*Error – Double Impression of “OS” Overprint*

There are a number of examples of a double impression of the New South Wales “OS” overprint. Most examples encountered occur on the 2d value, however, it is scarce in this perforation.



3d Yellow Green - “OS” 7mm wide  
Perforation 10

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double, One Albino (E)*

Discovered in 2009, this block represents the largest recorded multiple of this error which led to its listing in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2011.



3d Yellow Green - “OS” 7mm wide  
Perforation 12

*Error – “OS” Overprint Double, One Albino (E)*

This error is a new discovery and will be listed by Stanley Gibbons in the 2016 edition.



New South Wales - Officials  
1882  
Watermark Large Crown / NSW



3d Yellow Green - "OS" 7mm wide

Perforation 12 x 10

*Error – "OS" Overprint Double (E)*

The OS Overprint Double was well known to collectors early last century, and this error was first listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1909. Only 3 examples are recorded.

*Ex "Alvarado"*



3d Yellow Green - "OS" 7mm wide

Perforation 10

*Error – Imperforate Between Stamp  
and Margin at Right*

*Right Hand Units Watermark Marginal Lines*

One other block showing the marginal lines in the watermark at right is recorded.



3d Bluish Green - "OS" 7mm wide

Perforation 10

*Error – Watermark Sideways (E)*

Listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1997, this block is the largest recorded multiple of this error.



## New South Wales - Officials

1882

Watermark Large Crown / NSW

3d Yellow Green - "OS" 5.5mm wide  
Perforation 10

*Error – Watermark Sideways (E)*

There are no contemporary reports of the discovery of this error although it was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1997. To date, this is the largest multiple recorded.

*Ex "Alvarado"*



3d Bluish Green - "OS" 5.5mm wide  
Perforation 10 x 12  
*Error – Watermark Reversed*

There are no contemporary reports of the discovery of this error, however, examples are known without the "OS" overprint.



## New South Wales - Officials

1882

Watermark Large Crown / NSW



6d Mauve  
Perforation 10

*Error – Double Impression of “OS” Overprint*

The double impression of the “OS” overprint is very scarce on the 6d value with the right hand stamp showing an almost “double overprint”.



6d Mauve  
Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Double Impression of “OS” Overprint*

The double impression of the “OS” overprint is very scarce on the 6d value, especially on this rare perforation.



8d Yellow  
Perforation 10

*Error – Overprint Double (E)*

This error first became known to collectors when this example was auctioned in the Frazer sale in 2003. It is the only recorded example.

*Ex Frazer, “Alvarado”*



8d Yellow  
Perforation 12 x 10

*Error – Overprint Double (E)*

There are no contemporary reports of this error in the philatelic press regarding the discovery of this error until the sale of the mint example in the Slade Slade collection in 1979. It was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1984. This is the only recorded used copy.



8d Yellow  
Perforation 11

*Error – Overprint Treble (E)*

The existence of the treble overprint was known to collectors in the 1920's with the example in the Royal collection. It was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 1988. This is the only treble overprint of New South Wales and these two stamps are the only known copies in private hands.



New South Wales - Officials  
1882  
Watermark Large Crown / NSW



1/- Black  
Perforation 11 x 12  
*Error - Overprint Double (E)*

This error was well known to colonial collectors at the turn of the last century when it was listed by Stanley Gibbons in 1909. Only six singles and this used pair are recorded in private hands. There is a used pair in the Royal collection.

July 1888  
Watermark Large Crown / NSW



2d Prussian Blue  
Perforation 11 x 12  
*Error - "OS" Overprint Double (E)*

Discovered in 2009, this stamp led to the listing of this error in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2012. One other example is known.



2d Prussian Blue  
Perforation 11 x 12  
*Error - "OS" Overprint Double, One Albino*

There are no contemporary reports of the discovery of this error until its listing by Stanley Gibbons in 2014. This block is the only recorded multiple.



6d Carmine  
Perforation 11 x 12  
*Error - Offset Printing on the Reverse*



## New South Wales - Officials

July 1888

Watermark Large Crown / NSW



6d Carmine

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Double Impression of “OS” Overprint*

This is the only example recorded of the 6d value with the double impression of the “OS” overprint which was listed in the Stanley Gibbons catalogue in 2013..

15th February 1890

Watermark 5/ NSW



5/- Mauve

Perforation 10

*Error – Imperforate between stamp and margin at right*

There are no contemporary reports of this error, although the first example to appear at public auction was at Rod Perry Auction Galleries in 1983. To date, two mint and one used example have been recorded.



5/- Mauve

Perforation 10

*Error – Imperforate between stamp and margin at base*

There are no contemporary reports of this error, although the first example to appear at public auction was in 2005. To date, only two used examples have been recorded, both cancelled by the “122” rays of Pambula.

January 1891

Watermark Large Crown / NSW



1/2d on 1d Grey

Perforation 11 x 12

*Error – Overprint Double (E)*

This error was discovered in the early 1990's and listed by Stanley Gibbons in 2005. To date, only three mint examples are recorded.



7 1/2d on 6d Brown

Perforation 10

*Error – Offset of “OS” Overprint (E)*

This error occurred after the “OS” overprint forme was offset on the face of the stamp. Interestingly, the forme is from a different position from that of the stamp since the stamp shows the “O” sideways variety. One other example of this error is recorded.